1	JoAnna M. Esty, Esq. (SBN: 147903) jesty@linerlaw.com								
2	Jenna F. Karadbil, Esq. (SBN: 213574) jkaradbil@linerlaw.com								
3	LINER YANKELEVITZ SUNSHINE & REGENSTREIF LLP								
4	199 Fremont Street, 20th Floor San Francisco, California 94105								
5	Telephone: (415) 489-7700 Facsimile: (415) 489-7701								
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff UTSTARCOM, INC.								
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
10									
11	UTSTARCOM, INC.,	Case No. C 04-01122 PVT (ADR)							
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION ALLOWING							
13	vs.	ORDER  COST BOND AND RROROSED;  ORDER							
14	STARENT NETWORKS, CORPORATION,								
15	Defendant.								
16	)								
17	WHEREAS, on February 2, 2006, the Court granted judgment in favor of								
18	Defendant and against Plaintiff,								
19	WHEREAS, on February 16, 2006, Defendant filed its Bill of Costs requesting								
20	an award of \$119,749.40;								
21	WHEREAS, on March 2, 2006, Plaintiff appealed this Court's judgment to the								
22	United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit;								
23	WHEREAS, on March 3, 2006, Plaintiff filed objections to Defendant's Bill of								
24	Costs;								
25	WHEREAS, on March 23, 2006 Plaintiff filed its Notice of Substitution of								
26	new counsel of Liner Yankelevitz Sunshine & Regenstreif, LLP,								
27	WHEREAS, on March 24, 2006, the Clerk reduced Defendant's Bill of Costs								
28	and awarded \$59,956.17;								
	STIPLIL ATION ALLOWING COST	Case No. C 04-01122 PVT (ADR)							
	STIPULATION ALLOWING COST BOND AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 0090107/017/ 303518v01								

1	WHEREAS, while the appeal is pending Plaintiff would rather post a bond for				
2	the awarded costs, so that in the event the appeal is successful, Plaintiff would not				
3	need to seek return of the costs from Defendant;				
4	WHEREAS, Defendant will allow Plaintiff to post a bond in lieu of paying the				
5	costs awarded if Plaintiff increases the bond amount to include two (2) years				
6	annualized interest at the Federal rate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961;				
7	WHEREAS, Local Rule 7-11 gives the Court authority to grant such an				
8	administrative request for an Order allowing a cost bond; and				
9	WHEREAS, the proposed cost bond will have no effect on the case.				
10	NOW THEREFORE, the parties by and through their respective counsel				
11	hereby stipulate as follows:				
12	Plaintiff may post a bond in the amount of \$65,640 lieu of the \$59,956.17 Bill				
13	of Costs awards by the Clerk of Court on March 24, 2006. Plaintiff will have thirty				
14	(30) days from this Order to post such a bond.				
15	By her signature below, counsel for Plaintiff swears under penalty of perjury				
16	that counsel for Defendant concurred in the filing of this document.				
17	Dated: June 6, 2006 LINER YANKELEVITZ SUNSHINE & REGENSTREIF LLP				
18					
19	By:/s/				
20	JoAnna M. Esty Attorneys for Plaintiff				
21	UTStarcom, Inc.				
22	Dated: June 6, 2006 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.				
23	GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.				
24					
25	By: /s/Scott R. Mosko				
26	Attorneys for Defendant Starent Networks, Corp.				
27					
28					
	STIPULATION ALLOWING COST BOND AND [PROPOSED] ORDER				

1			‡ <b>PROPOSE</b>	D ORDER	
	IT IS SO	O ORDERED.		•	
3					
4	Dated:	6/22/06		Patricia	V. Trumbull
5	_				7. TURNBULL
6				UNITED ST	ATES MAGISTRATE
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		STIPULATION	N ALLOWING COST	3 BOND AND [PROP	Case No. C 04-01122 PVT (ADR) OSED] ORDER

0090107/017/ 303518v01